This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

CPCH0063458P

Patent Office of the People's Republic of China

Address: Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office, No. 6 Tucheng Road West, Haidian District, Belling Postal code: 100083

MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORKS, LTD.	Seal of 1 Estimates	Dave all assis
China Patent Agent (H.K.) Ltd.		January 31, 2003
Patent O0800941.4 Symmetric March 16, 2000	Egan Dejt	
Tide of CATALYST FOR WATER GAS SHIFT REACTION REMOVING CARBON MONOXIDE IN HYDROGE	METHOD N GAS AN	FOR ID ELECTRIC

First Office Action

with Office Action
(PCT application entering into the national phase)
1. If Under the provision of Art. 35, para. 1 of the Patent Law, the examiner has made an examination as to substance of the captioned patent application for invention upon the request for substantive examination filed by the applicant on
Under the provision of Art. 35, para. 2 of the Patent Law, the Chinese Patent Office has decided to conduct an examination of the captioned patent application for Invention on its own initiative.
2. 2 The applicant requests that the filing dateMarch 18, 1999 at theIP Patent Office be taken as the priority date of the present application, the filing date at the Patent Office be taken as the priority date of the present application, the filing date at the Patent Office be taken as the priority date of the present application.
 3. ☐ The following amended documents submitted by the applicant cannot be accepted for failure to conform with Art. 33 of the Patent Law: ☐ the Chinese version of the annex to the international preliminary examination report. ☐ the Chinese version of the amended documents submitted according to the provision of Rule 19 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty. ☐ the amended documents submitted according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41

of the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

☐ the amended documents submitted according to the provision of Rule 51 of the implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.

See the text portion of this Office Action for detailed reasons why the amendment cannot be accepted.

4. 🗹 Examination is conducted on the Chinese version of the initially-submitted
international application.
☐ Examination is conducted on the following document(s):
D page of the description, based on the Chinese version of the initially-
submitted international application documents;
page of the description, based on the Chinese version of the annex to the
international preliminary examination report;
page of the description, based on the amended documents submitted
according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty;
page of the description, based on the amended documents submitted
according to the provision of Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent
Law.
🗆 claim(s), based on the Chinese version of the initially-submitted
international application documents;
claim(s), based on the Chinese version of the amended documents
submitted according to the provision of Rule 19 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty;
claim(s), based on the Chinese version of the annex to the international
preliminary examination report;
claim(s), based on the amended documents submitted according to the
provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty;
claim(s), based on the amended documents submitted according to the
provision of Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
Fig(s), based on the Chinese version of the initially-submitted international
application documents;
Fig(s), based on the Chinese version of the annex to the international
preliminary examination report;
Fig(s), based on the amended documents submitted according to the
provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty:
Fig(s), based on the amended documents submitted according to the
provision of Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.

		and the second stands are the second
210.	Number of the state of the page	
76.		paramit have define some
		application)
1	DE2057839	Date: June 16, 1971
2	CN1121701	
3		Date: May 1, 1996
		Date

6. Concluding comments on the examination:

☐ On the description:
☐ What is stated in the application comes within the scope of that no patent right shall be granted as prescribed in Art. 5 of the Patent Law.
The description is not in conformity with the provision of Art. 26, para. 3 of the
Patent Law.
Ø On the claims:
Claim(s) come(s) within the scope of that no patent right shall be granted
as prescribed in Art. 25 of the Patent Law.
Of Claim(s) 1-7 has/have no novelty as prescribed in Art. 22, para. 2 of the Patent Law.
Claim(s) has/have no inventiveness as prescribed in Art. 22, para. 3 of the Patent Law.
Claim(s) has/have no pratical applicability as prescribed in Art. 22, para. 4 of the Patent Law.
O Claim(s) is/are not in conformity with the provision of Art. 26, para. 4 of the Patent Law.
☑ Claim(s) 11.12 is/are not in conformity with the provision of Art. 31, para. 1 of the Patent Law.
☑ Claim(s) 8.9 Is/are not in conformity with the provisions of Rules 20 to 23 of the Implementing Regulations.
Claim(s) is/are not in conformity with the provision of Art. 9 of the Patent Law.
☐ Claim(s) is/are not in conformity with the provision of Rule 12, para. 1 of the

2003年 2月25日 18時45分 14-FEB-2003 15:56

AOYAMA & PARTNERS

	NO. 6481	— _{Р.}	8	- ·
סד	0081669490361			P.05

Implementing Regulations.

See the text portion of this Office Action for detailed analysis of the above concluding comments.

Based on the above concluding comments, the examiner deems that the applicant should make amendment to the application document(s) according to the requirements put forward in the text portion of this Office Action. the applicant should expound in his/its observations why the captioned patent application is patentable and make amendment to what is not in conformity with the provisions pointed out in the text portion of this Office Action, otherwise, no patent right shall be granted. the patent application contains no substantive content(s) for which a patent right may be granted, if the applicant has no sufficient reason(s) to state or his/its stated reason(s) is/are not sufficient, said application will be rejected.
The applicant should note the following items:

- (1) Under Art. 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should submit his/its observations within <u>four</u> months from the date of receipt of this Office Action; if, without any justified reason(s), the time limit for making written response is not met, said application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
- (2) The amendment made by the applicant to said application should be in conformity with the provision of Art. 33 of the Patent Law, the amended text should be in duplicate and Its form should conform with the related provisions of the Guide to Examination.
- (3) If no arrangement is made in advance, the applicant and/or the agent shall not come to the Chinese Patent Office to have an interview with the examiner.
- (4) The observations and/or amended text should be sent to the Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office by mail or by personal delivery, if not sent to the Receiving Section by mail or by personal delivery, the document(s) will have no legal effect.

rollowing disacriment(s):	portion totalling 1 page(s) and of the ce document(s) totalling 3 page(s).
Examination Dept. No	Examiner

中华人民共和国国家知识产权局

<u> </u>					
邮政编码:	中国专利代	23 号磨君中心 22 字楼 堅(香港)有限公司 登,杨丽琴		(无电查业务与用章 不具容准律效力)	
中游号:	00800941.4	部门及通知书类型:	4-D	发文日期:	
申 请 人:	松下电工株式会社				
发明名称:	名称: 水煤气转化反应催化剂、氢气中的一氧化碳去除方法及燃料电池发电系统				
		第一次审查(进入国家阶段			

				3年	一次审查意义	上選知书		~	$\langle \rangle$
				G	进入国家阶段的P	CT 申请)		CX	<i>1</i> 0 5
l.	\boxtimes	依申请人提出	的实牢资求。	根据专利法	第35条第1数的规定	. 审查员对」	上述发明专利。	· 计讲进行:	实质审查
					知识产权局决定自行				
2.	\boxtimes	中谓人类求以	共在:						
	_	JP	 专科	风的中谋日	1999年3月18日	为优先权(1.		
	_		专利	周的中读日		为优先权[3,		
	_		学利	局的申请出	•	— 为优先权的	∄.		
3.		申谢人提交的	下列修改文件	干不符合专利	法第 33 条的规定,以	一 《而不能接受》			
		□ 网际初步	5年查报金附	件的中文译文					
		□ 依据专名	内合作条约第	19 条规定所统	是交的修改文件的中	文译文。			
		□ 依据专科	合作条约第	28条或41条	规定所提交的修改支	.件。			
		□ 依据专利	法实施知则	第 51 条规定	乔提交的修改文件。				
	佐	改不能被接受的	人中国中国	通知书正文部	5分。				
	\boxtimes	中资是针对原	始投交的四角	R甲请的中文的	举文进行的.				
		中在是针对下	达卢诺文件处	树的:	•				
		模划书	¥K.	边 照原始每3	文的国际中语文件的	:父华文中			
			#N.	技照国际初步	5年登报告陪件的中	文译文,			
			\$A.	技既依据专行	P合作条约第 28 条型	41 条规定所	基交的修改文	tt.	
			第 <u></u> 页,	按照依据专	則法实施組列第517数	定所提交的值	改文件.	•	
		权利要求	第項,	按照原始提及	Z的国际中带文件的 [。]	P文译文:			
					的合作条约第 19 条规		60文件的中5	7年3	
					中的特別根据查布司				
					社会作条约第28条政				
					N法实施部则第 51 条		性改大件···	tu. 9	1
		附題			的位际中诸文件的中		Stell V	THE S	
					中查报告附件的中共		1 th		数
			第 <u> </u>	技术依据专 系	N合作条約第28条或 N法字法規則第51条	41 条所提交的	(文件)	A	
	•				ALL TO SELECT MANAGEMENT ST. 25.	AT WEIGHT SPECIES	Agran.		ZXX

1 5 JUN 2003

2000 + 2Л200 10412Л по 19-FEB-2003 11:42 FROM

TO 0081669490361

5. 🔀 本远知书引用下述对比文献(其编号在今后的审查过程中继统治用):

福号	文件号或名称	公开日期 (政抵約申请的申请日)
1	DE2057839	1971 年 6 月 16 日
2	CN1121701	1996年5月1日
3		年 _ 凡 _ H
4		年_月_1

L	4	年 月 11
6.	审查的给论性主义:	
	○ 关于说明书。	
	□ 申请的内容属于专利技事 5 条规定的不经于专利权的范围。	
	□ 说明书不符合令和法第26条第3款的规定。	
	□ 说明书的撰写不符合专利法实施到则第18条的规定。	
	□ 类于权利公求书:	
	区 权利要求 1一7 不具备专利法第 22 条第 2 款规定的新颖性。	
	□ 权利要求 工人 不具备专利法第 22 条第 3 款规定的创造性。	
	□ 权利要求 小具备专利注第 22 条第 4 款规定的实用性。	
	L 权利以求 不符合专利法第 26 条第 4 款的规定。	
	区 权利要求 11.12 不符合专利法第 31 条第 1 数的规定。	
	□ 权利要求不符合专利法实施绌则第13条第1款的规定。	
	□ 权利贾求不符合专利法策9条的规定。	
	☑ 权利要求 8.9 不符合专利法实施领别第20条至第23条的规定。	
	上这结论性意见的具体分析见本通知书的正文部分。	**** * **
7.	若于上址外论性意见。审查员认为 。	
	□ 申请人应按照通知书正文部分提出的要求,对申请文件进行修改。	
	□ 中南人应在这块路还书中论述其专利申请可以被授予专利权的理由,并对	通知书正文部分中指出的不符合规定之处
	进行移改。否则各不值投于专利权。	
	□ 专利申请中没有可以被投予专利权的实质性内容。如果申请人役有陈述理	由或者陈述现由不充分,其中诸将被取回。
8.	—— 中说人应往 贷下 这事项。	
	(1) 根据专利法第37条的规定,申审人反击收到本通知书之日起的 <u></u>	个月内陈述斌见,如集申诺人尤正当理由
	近期不奈复,其中贸将被视为撤回。	
	(2) 申证人对其申请的整改成符合专和法第33条的规定,整改文本应一会	有份,其格式应符合市资指前的有关规定。
	(3) 申请人的意见除述书和或修改文本应师咨读选交给中国专利员受职处 经依益力。	. 凡米邮资或进交给受理处的文件不具备
	(4) 米岛预约,甲安人邓欧代理人不得前梁中用专利局将审查员举行会报。	
9.		
	□ 5/ 质的对比文件的复印件共2份3页。	

とりりつ牛 とガとンローエリヴェとガ

19-FEB-2003 11:43

申请号: 008009414

第一次审查意见通知书正文

第一次审查意见通知书正文

本申请涉及一种水煤气转化反应催化剂及从氢气中除去一氧化碳的方法、经审查, 现提供如下的审查意见。

1 权利要求 1 不具备新颖性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 2 款的规定。对比文件 1 公开 了一种含铂和铼负载在步孔氧化物上的催化剂,载体主要由 A1203 组成,铂与铼的含丝优 选为 0.2-18 (参见该对比文件的指要)。由此可见,该对比文件已经公开了该权利要求的 全部技术特征,因此该权利要求不具备新颖性。

从属权利要求 2-5 的附加技术特征也被对比文件 1 所述公开,因此也不符合专利法 第22条第2款的规定。

2 权利要求 6 也不具备新颖性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 2 款的规定。对比文件 2 公 开了一种用于制备合成气的催化剂,它是由 ZrO2 和 La、Ca、Ca 等元素的氧化物组成的载 体及铂涂层组成的(参见该对比文件的权利要求书)。由此可见,该对比文件已经公开了 该权利买求的全部技术特征,因此该权利要求不具备新原性。

从属权利要求 7 对金属的含量进行了限定,但在对比文件的权利要求书中已经公开, 所以也不具备新规性。

从局权利要求 8 所记载的附加技术特征是催化剂的制备方法,而不是对他化剂的限 定. 不符合实施细则第21条第3数的规定。申请人应当删除该权利要求。

- 3 权利要求 9 缺少达到发明目的的必要技术特征,不符合实施细则第 21 条第 2 款 该方法所采用的具体条件。因此,申请人应当将其记载到该权利要求中。
- 4 独立权利要求 11 及其从属权利要求 12 与权利要求 1 所要求保护的技术方案不屈 于一个总的发明构思,技术上无相互关联。没有相同或者相应的特定技术特征,不具备单 一性,因此不符合专利法第 31 条的规定。权利要求 1—10 为一种含铂的负载催化剂。而权 利要求 11-12 则为一种燃料电池发电系统。申诺人应当剧除权利要求 11-12、针对不再 变求保护的发明, 申请人可以在本申请结案之前另行提交分案申请。

甚于上述理由,申请人应当在本通知书报定的答复期限内作出答复,对本通知书提 出的问题逐一进行答复,必要时应修改专利申请文件,否则本中请将难以获得批准。申请人 对申请文作的修改应当符合专利法第 33 条的规定,不得超出原说明书和权利要求书记载的 抠用。